

OBSERVATIONS on Two Reports of Robert Mylne Esq. concerning  
Hexham Bridge, by J. SMEATON, Civil Engineer.

THERE are so many points contained in the two reports of Mr. Mylne, of the 24<sup>th</sup> April and the 30<sup>th</sup> September 1783, in which I entirely differ with that gentleman in opinion, that to make the proper observations upon the whole, would draw me out to a length that in the present state of things I would wish to avoid. I shall therefore content  
myself

myself with observing upon those that I look upon to be the foundation of that difference of opinion; and upon which the merits of the question seem principally to depend. I shall therefore pass over the compliments that Mr. Mylne's politeness prompts him to pay me on this occasion; and particularly as they seem to be at my own expense. For the greater ease of reference, I shall apply to the printed copy of Mr. Mylne's reports.

Mr. Mylne says, page 5th, (298 of this volume) "The existence of a sand below, and a supposed hardness and concretion of five feet, or any such measure of the upper parts, seem to have precipitately and fatally determined the plans of operation at first setting out; and appear to me to be equally the cause of the present precipitate opinion for abandoning the work as impracticable."

He also says, page 4, (298) "Mr. Smeaton, than whom there is no person or artist better instructed, more knowing, and of a more penetrating and correct judgment, must have been deceived in the collection of facts and materials, on which he established his plan of operations."

Now, if in the following detail it shall appear that Mr. Smeaton made a proper collection of facts whereon to judge; and did make a proper judgment thereon, so far as at the time he was to form this judgment had come to light; then, Mr. Mylne's reflections on this judgment must appear unfounded, and will in course fall to the ground.

Mr. Mylne acknowledges himself to have been made acquainted with the reasons and motives of Mr. Smeaton; for page 6th, (298) he says, "I have seen and examined all Mr. Smeaton's papers, I have heard all the particulars, and history of his proceedings and motives for the method of operations, which he adopted:" and Mr. Mylne knows that this intelligence was from Mr. Smeaton himself, before Mr. Mylne went down to examine the works and persons concerned, and employed in the detail of its execution: and that it was in this conference that Mr. Smeaton mentioned to him, that the ground and reason which influenced his judgment in not sinking the piers of the bridge deeper into the bed of the river was an established opinion that the greatest part of the river's bed consisted of a gravel, very hard compacted together and difficult to penetrate at its upper surface; but which diminishes in compactness from the surface downwards; so that at some depth exceeding five feet, it deviated into a sand, and ultimately into a quicksand or mud. The existence of such a sand below, as also a supposed hardness and concretion of five feet, or any such measure, of the upper parts, Mr. Mylne explodes in the terms already quoted: but as herein resides the foundation of that entire difference of opinion that still

subsists

subsists betwixt Mr. Mylne and Mr. Smeaton, it seems proper now to examine the grounds whereon Mr. Smeaton established this opinion, and also the grounds whereon Mr. Mylne explodes it; because, if well founded, from what *appeared at the time*, it must be admitted sufficient in reason for Mr. Smeaton's determining his plan of operations according to it, though, since the fatal accident, any thing should appear to the contrary; and if on the other hand, from any thing that can be deduced from M. Mylne's operations, Mr. Smeaton's original conclusions stand uninvalidated; then it must appear, that Mr. Mylne must have been drawn into a precipitate opinion, or, as he terms it, *deceived*.

That Sir Walter Blackett built a bridge at Hexham, which not long after it was finished, was thrown down by the great flood in 1771, in one night, and the materials almost totally dispersed, is an event too notorious for Mr. Smeaton to be *deceived* in.

That the foundations of this bridge were let into the bed of the river, well founded upon a great number of piles, and a platform upon the heads of them 12 inches thick, of solid balks judiciously rabbetted together, Mr. Smeaton could not be *deceived* in; having been eye witness to many of the operations.

That all the piers, arches, and one of the two abutments were totally destroyed, and the whole length of one side of one of the platforms raised out of the water, half way between the horizontal line and the perpendicular; in this also Smeaton could not have been *deceived*, having seen it for several years together; and was exposed to the full view of every one that passed by.

From the above facts, Mr. Smeaton inferred, that though the upper crust was hard gravel, yet a much more soft and yielding material lay underneath it, otherwise the bottom, could not have been turned up in the manner mentioned, and that the foundations would have been more secure, had they been laid upon the upper crust without its being broken, by excavating or driving piles: and which is evidently proved by the subsequent operations that now come in course to be mentioned.

That after this accident Sir Walter Blackett, chusing rather to forfeit the penalty of the bond\*, in which he was obliged to uphold the bridge for seven years, than to attempt it again, the magistrates of the county employed Mr. Wooler, then an eminent engineer, to begin another bridge near the same place: and that in consequence he built a land-

\* Penalty 3000*l*.

breast on the north side; and many preparations being made, and every thing ready for founding a pier, upon the same side; on digging the foundation put into the bed of the river, the whole work was put a stop to for some reason or other; and in this Mr. Smeaton could not be deceived; because at that time he was often at Hexham, was well acquainted with Mr. Wooler, and saw the operations going on, and afterwards discontinued: and it will be further proved by living evidence, that the reason for discontinuing the work, was the *softness* of the bottom of the foundation pit; and to ascertain whether this softness was particular to *that place*, a pit within the solid bank was dug upon the opposite side of the river, in the bottom of which the same soft stratum prevailed; and to this some of the magistrates were themselves witnesses, as will also be proved: it can also be proved from a letter of the said Mr. Wooler, now deceased, that in his opinion a *permanent bridge could not be established here without building a solid wall of six feet high, and 42 broad, quite across the river*; which he observed was an expedient that has succeeded where *expense was not regarded*. He further says, “ that the attempting to set a bridge upon such an enormous depth of quicksand, over a river so subject to floods as the Tyne, may be deemed so hazardous as to be next to imprudence itself.”

Mr. Smeaton therefore could not be *deceived* as to the existence of a hard stratum of gravel at the top, with a quicksand under it, at either of the places just described\*: as Mr. Wooler's operations fully prove what was the cause of the failure of the first bridge.

Mr. Jonathan Pickernell, who was brought from London to be employed in this business under Mr. Wooler, and recommended by him, will further prove, that he was employed to bore the river, which he did in various places, and verbally reported to Mr. Smeaton, that wherever he had tried the river he constantly found a quicksand to take place underneath the upper bed of gravel; and that he had reported the same to the *clerk of the peace*.

In this Pickernell might have deceived Mr. Smeaton; in his never having made such borings, nor made such report: but Smeaton did not rest it here; for in the very place where he built the bridge, he tried the bottom of the river, not by boring, but by driving down a pointed iron bar, in various places across the river, to the depth of nine or ten feet, and constantly found, that though the entry of the bar was in all places difficult, yet at every blow it went more and more easy; however at this depth the gravel felt so tolerably compact as

\* N. B. The Dwarf wall, the place recommended by Mr. Mylne, is near the original bridge.



to be deemed by him sufficient for supporting a bridge, *provided* the upper cruff was not broken by digging or piling; and provided, the weight upon each pier was not *too great*, which would be the consequence of *wide arches*; and as was the case with Sir Walter Blackett's bridge: the middle arch being 70 feet.

Now, if in the conclusion I drew from those trials of the river's bottom by the bar, I have been deceived; I deceived *myself*, as I was not beholden to the *patience and perseverance* of Mr. Pickernell only, for as I not only directed but assisted in the operation myself, I saw with my *own eyes*, and felt with my *own hands*.

These are the facts on which Mr. Smeaton built his plan of operations, which facts, if valid, he challenges Mr. Mylne to shew, that the methods he grounded them on, were not the most likely, *as far as was then known*, to produce a permanent bridge, that if, at all, must be erected at such a moderate expense as the county of Northumberland was likely to raise: for to build a solid wall across the river whereon to set it, he judged with Mr. Wooler to be improper, except where *expense was not to be regarded*.

Let us now see what Mr. Mylne has on the other hand established to invalidate these facts. He says, page 3d, (297) "I have bored the river at the bridge to the depth of 23 feet below the latter water level, in a place where I might not be led astray by any alteration formed by the said flood in its milder velocity; and I have found under the testimony and perseverance of Mr. Wake, that the soil and texture of the bed of the river at this place is uniformly a composition or congeries of roundish and flat stones, gravel and sand, of equal quality and consistence in the whole of that depth."

Mr. Mylne then caused a hole to be bored to the depth of 23 feet into a bed of gravel: but was this the only hole? Mr. Mylne makes no mention of any other. Where did he bore it? Mr. Mylne does not say; but contents himself with telling us, he did not bore it in a place where the gravel was liable to be disturbed by the impetuosity of the river. But does Mr. Mylne think this was sufficient to determine what the soil and texture of that part of the bed of the river was which *was liable* to be so disturbed? Mr. Mylne says, page 4th, (297) that the bed of the river "though hard to the touch of boring, and compact to the eye, and feeling of instruments, is wonderfully loose, and unconnected in its parts, inasmuch that the bed of the river Tyne seems to shift and alter its form, extent, and situation with every flood more or less; and tearing up at one time to a great depth that fair moulded and well-laid hollow, which the stream had laid for itself

" upon

“ upon some former occasion.” This was not the part, therefore, that Mr. Mylne chose to examine otherwise than in his mind’s eye ; he chose a place not so liable to alter, although the greatest part of the bridge, and particularly that part that first gave way, was founded, and obliged to be founded, upon *this fair moulded and well laid hollow*, which the stream had *laid for itself upon some former occasion*. Now Mr. Smeaton cannot be deceived in this, that it was in this very part where he drove down his bar : it was in the deepest part of the river ; and all his trials were made in a *boat*, though the river was then in its low state. Whereas it will be proved in evidence, that the part where Mr. Wake bored was upon a dry gravel bed, nearly abreast of the place where Mr. Smeaton put down his second coffer dam pier : and where the foundation was actually sunk into the bed of the river, as deep as it possibly could be for water \* ; in confidence that the gravel bed there was quite as deep as Mr. Wake’s boring has since proved it to be. The fact was, that Mr. Smeaton’s original *plan* and proposition was to build the land-breast and one pier, on each side, with coffer dams, sinking the foundation thereof into the bed of gravel, piling underneath and round these works, to as great a depth as should appear necessary on opening the ground ; and which accordingly were done : but finding, on founding the north land-breast, and also the north pier, that the gravel bed extended still further into the bed of the river than was at first expected, he directed the second pier (opposite which Mr. Wake bored), to be laid in the same way ; leaving then only five of the eight piers to be built in the *fair moulded hollow* to be subject to the *vast powers* of the *floods* of this river ; and this he was under a necessity of doing, as he found it impracticable to drain off the water so as properly to establish the piers upon a foundation of piling : judging it, therefore, the safest expedient to preserve the upper surface whole, which he found by far the hardest, and to found the rest of the piers by caissons upon the bottom of the river, defending the basis thereof by such outworks as then were in contemplation.

How, therefore, it happens that because at the place where Mr. Wake bored “ the foil and texture of the bed of the river is uniformly a composition or congeries of “ roundish and flat stones, gravel, and sand, of equal quality and consistency throughout the whole of that depth ;” it is and must be so everywhere else, as well where he did *not* bore, as where he did ! or how Mr. Mylne becomes entitled to report that Mr. Smeaton’s investigation of a sand (or soft matter) below, and a greater hardness or concretion of the matter above, as appeared to Mr. Smeaton, from driving the bar as already described, is an unfounded idea, Mr. Smeaton cannot see to arise otherwise

\* It rose so plentifully from the bottom as to employ near 40 men at the pumps.

than from an intuitive knowledge in Mr. Mylne that Mr. Smeaton does not pretend to: inasmuch as that Mr. Mylne does not adduce the trial of any experiment whatever from whence that inference can fairly be made.

Mr. Smeaton, therefore, refers it to any impartial person who shall peruse Mr. Mylne's reports referred to, and these observations upon them, whether it is Mr. Smeaton that has been *deceived* in the collection of facts and materials on which he established his plan of operations; or that Mr. Mylne, after hearing every thing that Mr. Smeaton had to offer, has undertaken to judge of works in a condition from whence their original state cannot now properly be judged of; and by examining many persons concerned and employed in the detail of its execution; and relying on those whose judgments were incompetent to the business; that Mr. Mylne himself, in the forming of these reports, has been *deceived* and drawn into a *precipitate* opinion.

I shall now conclude with observing, that had Sir Walter Blackett's bridge fallen in the day-time, when it could have been observed that the rapidity of the stream formed a fall of five feet, or even half of it, I never would have advised either Mr. Errington or the county to have set about the erection of another bridge at Hexham, either upon piles, or in any other method, unless the sum of money to be expended upon it was unlimited. This single fact would therefore, in the present case, have deterred Mr. Smeaton from proposing the building at all, neither was, nor in its own nature could be known, till the flood that proved the sudden destruction of the last bridge; though it had, without the least damage, withstood a flood when the fall was three feet nine inches, in consequence whereof the water would acquire a velocity of about 900 feet in a minute.

And now, being master of these facts by the fatal destruction of this work also, he is unwilling to injure his reputation by the disappointment of others, in attempting to erect another bridge at Hexham upon a limited sum: that post of honor he leaves to be occupied by some more hardy or fortunate adventurer, remembering that a burnt child dreads the fire.

Grays Inn,  
28th June 1788.